# **- Supporting information -**

Including Ecotoxic Impacts on Warm-blooded Predators in Life Cycle Impact Assessment

Laura Golsteijn \*†, Rosalie van Zelm †, Karin Veltman ‡, Gijs Musters †, A Jan Hendriks †, and Mark AJ Huijbregts †

- † Radboud University Nijmegen, Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Department of Environmental Science, PO Box 9010, 6500 GL, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ‡ Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Industrial Ecology Programme, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway
- \* To whom correspondence may be addressed: L.Golsteijn@science.ru.nl

**This is an earlier version of the following article: Golsteijn L., Van Zelm R., Veltman K., Musters G., Hendriks A.J., Huijbregts M.A.J. 2011. Including ecotoxic effects on warmblooded predators in life cycle impact assessment, which has been published in final form in Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag. 8 (2): 372–378.**

### **Contents**

- 1. The Model OMEGA (p 2)
- 2. Chemical Properties (p 13)
- 3. Additional Graphs (p 14)
- 4. References (p 16)

### **1. The Model OMEGA**

The model OMEGA estimates accumulation of organic compounds and metals in aquatic and terrestrial food chains. Here, a brief explanation of main processes and equations on accumulation of organic substances is given. More detailed information can be found in Hendriks and others (2001) and Hendriks and Heikens (2001). Standard food chains in OMEGA consist of four trophic levels. The mass of organisms in such food chains results from four basic flows (see Figure S1):

- 1) absorption and excretion of water
- 2) ingestion and egestion of food
- 3) (re)production of mass
- 4) mortality of tissues



Figure S1. The densities of organisms and of their food are determined by metabolic flows at rate constants for absorption and excretion of water, ingestion and egestion of food, (re)production, respiration and mortality of mass. The concentrations in organisms and their food are determined by the lipid and water resistance as well as by the metabolic flows that carry substances into and out of organisms.

OMEGA calculates steady-state substance residues in biota as the sum of influx via water and uptake of food divided by the total elimination rate (Equation S1). Symbols are explained in Table S1. Different routes of elimination exist: efflux via water, food and biomass (growth dilution). Mostly, metabolic transformation is not explicitly accounted for, but in this study rate constants for metabolic transformation were based on the data of Arnot et others (2008). Furthermore, uptake and elimination via air were taken into account based on the calculations of Veltman and others (2009).

The concentration in an organism  $(C_{x,i})$  is determined by a trophic-level-specific combination of all routes of uptake and elimination described in Equation S1.

$$
C_{x,i} = \frac{k_{x,w,in,i} \cdot C_{x,w} + k_{x,f,in,i} \cdot C_{x,i-1} + k_{x,a,in,i} \cdot C_{x,a}}{\sum k_{x,w,out,i} + k_{x,f,out,i} + k_{x,p,out,i} + k_{x,m,out,i} + k_{x,a,out,i}}
$$
(S1)

Values for the rate constants in Equation S1 are derived by Hendriks and others (2001) and Veltman and others (2009). Rate constants for influx and efflux are predicted based on speciesweight following allometric relationships. For organic substances, these constants are inversely proportional to resistances substances encounter in water and lipid layers and specific flows.

Defining the uptake and elimination rate constants requires taking into account the partitioning between the tissue or blood of an organism and the exchange compartments air or water. This partitioning depends on the fractions of water, proteins, polar and neutral lipids in the tissue or blood (Hendriks et al. 2005; Veltman et al. 2009). The relationships between these tissue or blood components and the  $K_{ow}$  of a substance differ for polar and nonpolar substances (Hendriks et al. 2005). For nonpolar substances (i.e. substances not containing H-bonding groups (Briggs 1981)), the tissue-water partition coefficient was defined as:

$$
K_{tw} = p_{nl,t} \cdot K_{ow} + p_{pl,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0.94} + p_{p,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0.63} + p_{g,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0.63} + p_{H2O,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0}
$$
 (S2)

and for polar substances (i.e. substances containing H-bonding groups (Briggs 1981)) as:

$$
K_{tw} = 0.04 p_{nl,t} \cdot K_{ow} + 2 p_{pl,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0.94} + 2.9 p_{p,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0.63} + 2.9 p_{g,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0.63} + p_{H2O,t} \cdot K_{ow}^{0.63}
$$
\n(S3)

Abbreviations are explained in Table S1. Using the fractions of water, proteins, polar and neutral lipids in blood instead of tissue, the blood-water partition coefficient can be calculated in a similar way.

The outflux rate constants  $k_{x,w,out}$  and  $k_{x,f,out}$  were calculated by multiplying the influx, as described by Hendriks and others (2001), with the inverse of tissue-water partition coefficient.

The affinity of substances for water, proteins and different lipids in the food was defined similar to the substances' affinity for these components in the tissue. Instead of the fractions of different components found in the trophic level of interest, the fractions of the trophic level below, i.e. i-1 were used. The affinity for these food components was used in the calculation of the influx rate constant  $k_{x,f,in}$  and the outflux rate constant  $k_{x,f,out}$  according to the work of Hendriks et al (2001) adjusted as described above.

Uptake and excretion via water were described by:

$$
k_{x,w,in,i} = \frac{1}{\rho_{H_2O,w} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa} + \frac{\rho_{CH_2,i}}{K_{ow}} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\gamma_w} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa}}
$$
(S4)

$$
k_{x,w,out,i} = \frac{1}{K_{tw}} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_{H_2O,w} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa} + \frac{\rho_{CH_2,i}}{K_{ow}} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\gamma_w} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa}}
$$
(S5)

Uptake from food and egestion with faeces were described by: (S6)

$$
k_{x, \text{fin,i}} = \frac{p_f}{1-p_f} \cdot \frac{1}{K_{\text{tw,i-1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_{H_2O,f} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^\kappa + \frac{\rho_{\text{CH}_2,i}}{K_{\text{ow}} \cdot q_T} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^\kappa + \frac{1}{K_{\text{tw,i-1}} \cdot \left(\text{--} p_f \cdot \text{--} \gamma_f \cdot q_T} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^\kappa}
$$

$$
k_{x,f,out,i} = \frac{1}{K_{tw}} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_{H_2O,f} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa} + \frac{\rho_{CH_{2i}}}{K_{ow} \cdot q_T} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa} + \frac{1}{K_{tw,i-1} \cdot \left(\mathbf{I} - p_f \cdot \mathbf{I} \right)^{\kappa} \cdot q_T} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa}}
$$
(S7)

The outflux rate constant for growth dilution equaled:

$$
k_{x,p,out,i} = \gamma_p \cdot q_T \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{w^*}\right)^{\kappa}
$$
 (S8)

We used the outflux rate constant for biotransformation  $(k_{x,m,out})$  in fish of the third trophic level presented by Arnot et al (2008) in EPI Suite <sup>™</sup> 4.0. The model calculates  $k_{x,m,out}$  as a whole body value, namely the fraction of the mass in the whole body biotransformed per unit of time. The biological half-life, on which  $k_{x,m,out}$  is based, is normalized to a 10 g fish at 15 °C. The study-specific  $k_{x,m,out}$  can be calculated from the normalized one:

$$
k_{x,m,out} = k_{x,m,out,n} \cdot \left(\frac{w_i}{0.01 \cdot w^*}\right)^{-\kappa} \cdot \left(\frac{\exp(T)}{\exp(T_n)}\right)^{0.01}
$$
(S9)

For inhalation and exhalation via air,  $k_{x,a,in}$  and  $k_{x,a,out}$  the concentration in the organism was calculated depending on the blood-air partition coefficient  $(K_{ba})$  and the tissue-air partition coefficient  $(K<sub>ta</sub>)$  as described by Veltman et al (2009).

$$
k_{x,a,in} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{G_A} + \frac{\beta_A}{d_w \cdot A_A \cdot K_{ba}} + \frac{1}{G_B \cdot K_{ba}}}
$$
(S10)

$$
k_{x,a,out} = \frac{1}{K_{ta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{1}{G_A} + \frac{\beta_A}{d_w \cdot A_A \cdot K_{ba}} + \frac{1}{G_B \cdot K_{ba}}}
$$
(S11)

$$
G_A = 0.67 \cdot 667.008 \cdot w_i^{0.745} \tag{S12}
$$

$$
\beta_A = 269.8 \cdot (1000 \cdot w_i)^{0.0618} \cdot 10^{-8}
$$
 (S13)

$$
d_w = \frac{2.7 \cdot 10^{-8}}{\text{molecule}_{x}^{0.71} \cdot 100 \cdot 60 \cdot 60 \cdot 24}
$$
 (S14)

$$
A_A = 293 \cdot w_i^{0.97} \tag{S15}
$$

$$
K_{ba} = \frac{K_{bw}}{K_{aw}}
$$
 (S16)

$$
G_B = 321.408 \cdot w_i^{0.75} \tag{S17}
$$

$$
K_{t_a} = \frac{K_{t_w}}{K_{aw}}
$$
 (S18)

The bioaccumulation factor due to direct fresh water uptake and indirect (i.e. via food) was calculated for each trophic level separately in order to determine the higher predators' intake via food. For trophic level 1 the BF was calculated as:

$$
BF_{x,1} = \frac{k_{x,w,in,1}}{\sum k_{x,out,1}} \tag{S19}
$$

Species from higher trophic levels also take up chemicals from the water via food. For trophic level 2 the BF was defined as:

$$
BF_{x,2} = \frac{k_{x,w,in,2} + k_{x,f,in,2} \cdot BF_{x,1}}{\sum k_{x,out,2}}
$$
 (S20)

and for trophic level 3 as:

$$
BF_{x,3} = \frac{k_{x,w,in,3} + k_{x,f,in,3} \cdot BF_{x,2}}{\sum k_{x,out,3}}
$$
(S21)

In these equations  $BF_x$  is the bioaccumulation factor of substance x in organism for trophic levels 1, 2 and 3, due to uptake from the fresh water compartment, i.e. water or food  $(m^3 \text{·kg}_{wwt}^{-1})$ ,  $k_{x,w,in}$  is the influx rate constant for water absorption of substance x per trophic level (L⋅kg<sub>wwt</sub>-1⋅d<sup>-1</sup>), k<sub>x,f,in</sub> is the influx rate constant for assimilation of substance x from food per trophic level (L⋅kg<sub>wwt</sub><sup>-1</sup>⋅d<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\sum k_{x,out}$  is the sum of the rate constants for the different elimination routes per trophic level (all in  $d^{-1}$ ). These elimination routes are excretion and growth dilution for each trophic level, egestion for trophic levels 2 and 3, and biotransformation for trophic level 3.



### **Table S1. Factors used in equations with typical values for parameters**



2009)





<b>Trophic level</b>	<b>Body part</b>	$p_{H2O}(a)$	p <sub>nl</sub>	$\mathbf{p}_{\text{pl}}$	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}}$	$\mathbf{p_g}$
$\mathbf{1}$	<b>Tissue</b>	0.93	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.01 (1)	0.05 (1)	0.01 (1)
$\overline{2}$	<b>Tissue</b>	0.88	0.01 (1,2)	0.01 (1)	0.10 (1)	$\boldsymbol{0}$
3	<b>Tissue</b>	0.77	0.04 (1)	0.01 (1)	0.18 (1)	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$\overline{4}$	<b>Tissue</b>	0.70 (1)	0.09 (1)	0.01 (1)	0.20 (1)	$\boldsymbol{0}$
4(b)	<b>Blood</b>	0.80 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.20 (3)	$\boldsymbol{0}$

**Table S2. Fractions of different components in tissue and blood per trophic level**

- (a) The water fractions are considered to be the remaining fractions after subtracting the other components.
- (b) based on data for mammals
- (1) (Hendriks et al. 2005)
- (2) (Hendriks et al. 2001)
- (3) (Veltman et al. 2009)

## **2. Chemical Properties**





### **3. Additional Graphs**



**Figure S2: Correlation plots of Kaw and the contribution of uptake via air to CB**

14 Figure S2: The contribution of uptake via air to the chemicals' CB was positively correlated with the chemicals'  $K_{aw}$  for an emission to air (a,  $R^2$ =0.09), fresh water (b,  $R^2$ =0.89), and agricultural

soil (c,  $R^2=0.89$ ), respectively. Acephate ( $\Diamond$ ), Aldicarb ( $\Box$ ), Lindane ( $\Delta$ ), and DDT (O) are highlighted. The dotted line shows the linear fit for the data.



**Figure S3: Correlation plots of CFs for warm-blooded predators and CFs for cold-blooded species**

Figure S3: Correlation between our new CFs for warm-blooded predators and CFs for coldblooded species calculated according to existing methodologies, for an emission to air (a,  $R^2$ =0.16), and agricultural soil (b,  $R^2$ =0.18), respectively. Acephate ( $\Diamond$ ), Aldicarb ( $\Box$ ), Lindane  $(\Delta)$ , and DDT (O) are highlighted. The dashed line indicates the 1:1 relation, whereas the dotted line shows the linear fit for the data.

### **4. References**

- Arnot JA, Mackay D, Bonnell M. 2008. Estimating metabolic biotransformation rates in fish from laboratory data. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 27(2):341-351.
- Briggs GG. 1981. Theoretical and experimental relationships between soil adsorption, octanolwater partition-coefficients, water solubilities, bioconcentration factors, and the parachor. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 29(5):1050-1059.
- Hendriks AJ. 1999. Allometric scaling of rate, age and density parameters in ecological models. Oikos 86:293-310.
- Hendriks AJ, Heikens A. 2001. The power of size. 2. Rate constants and equilibrium ratios for accumulation of inorganic substances related to species weight. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 20(7):1421-1437.
- Hendriks AJ, Traas TP, Huijbregts MAJ. 2005. Critical body residues linked to octanol-water partitioning, organism composition, and LC50 QSARs: Meta-analysis and model. Environmental Science & Technology 39(9):3226-3236.
- Hendriks AJ, van der Linde A, Cornelissen G, Sijm D. 2001. The power of size. 1. Rate constants and equilibrium ratios for accumulation of organic substances related to octanol-water partition ratio and species weight. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 20(7):1399- 1420.
- van Zelm R, Huijbregts MAJ, van de Meent D. 2009. USES-LCA 2.0-a global nested multimedia fate, exposure, and effects model. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 14(3):282-284.
- Veltman K, McKone TE, Huijbregts MAJ, Hendriks AJ. 2009. Bioaccumulation potential of air contaminants: Combining biological allometry, chemical equilibrium and mass-balances to predict accumulation of air pollutants in various mammals. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 238(1):47-55.
- West GB, Brown JH, Enquist BJ. 1999. A general model for the structure and allometry of plant vascular systems. Nature 400(6745):664-667.